

Standard versus baby-led complementary feeding: a comparison of food and nutrient intake in 6-12 month old infants in the UK

Article

Accepted Version

Alpers, B., Blackwell, V. and Clegg, M. E. (2019) Standard versus baby-led complementary feeding: a comparison of food and nutrient intake in 6-12 month old infants in the UK. Public Health Nutrition, 22 (15). pp. 2813-2822. ISSN 1368-9800 doi: 10.1017/S136898001900082X Available at https://centaur.reading.ac.uk/82349/

It is advisable to refer to the publisher's version if you intend to cite from the work. See Guidance on citing.

To link to this article DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/S136898001900082X

Publisher: Cambridge University Press

All outputs in CentAUR are protected by Intellectual Property Rights law, including copyright law. Copyright and IPR is retained by the creators or other copyright holders. Terms and conditions for use of this material are defined in the End User Agreement.

www.reading.ac.uk/centaur



CentAUR

Central Archive at the University of Reading Reading's research outputs online

ABSTRACT 1 2 Objective: To compare food and nutrient intake of infants aged 6-12 months following a baby-3 4 led complementary feeding (BLCF) approach to infants who followed a standard weaning 5 (SW) approach. 6 **Design:** Participants completed an online questionnaire consisting of socio-demographic 7 questions, a 28-day food frequency questionnaire (FFQ) and a sample of participants completed 8 a 24-hour dietary recall. 9 Setting: UK. 10 **Participants:** 134 infants aged 6-12 months (n=88, BLCF; n=46, SW). 11 **Results:** There was no difference between weaning method and food groups for "fruits". "vegetables", "all fish", "meat and fish", "sugary" or "starchy" foods. The SW group were 12 13 offered "fortified infant cereal" (p<.001), "salty snacks" at 6-8 months (p=.03), "dairy and dairy based desserts" at 9-12 months (p=.04) and pre-prepared infant food at all ages (p<.001) 14 more often that the BLCF group. The SW group were offered "oily fish" at all ages (p < .001) 15 and 6-8 months (p=.01), and "processed meats" at all ages (p<.001), 6-8 months (p=.003), and 16 9-12 months (p<.001) less often than the BLCF group. In the BLCF group there was a 17 18 significantly greater intake of sodium (p=.028) and fat from food (p=.035), and a significantly 19 lower intake of iron from milk (p=.012) and free sugar in the 6-8 month subgroup (p=.03) 20 compared to the SW group. Iron intake was below the RNI for both groups and sodium was above the RNI in the BLCF group. 21 Conclusion: Compared to the SW group the BLCF group were offered foods higher in sodium 22 23 and lower in iron, however the foods offered contained less free sugar. 24 25 **Keywords:** baby led weaning, infants, nutrition, complementary feeding 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33

INTRODUCTION

3	6
3	7

Optimal nutrition in infancy is crucial for growth and development, and for establishing good eating habits for long-term health ⁽¹⁾. At around six months of age, infants should be introduced to complementary foods in addition to breast or formula milk, as infant milk alone will not satisfy an infant's energy and nutrient needs ⁽²⁾. Iron and zinc stores in breast milk are almost depleted by six months, so complementary foods that provide these micronutrients are of particular importance to the breast fed infant ^(2; 3).

The UK Department of Health guidelines on infant feeding recommend breastfeeding exclusively for the first six months, after which a variety of complementary foods can be introduced alongside continued breastfeeding (and/or formula milk), but cow's milk should not be offered as a main drink until after twelve months. Vitamin A, C and D supplements are recommended from six months unless the child is formula fed, and foods should contain no added salt or sugar ⁽⁴⁾. Traditionally, infants in the UK have been spoon-fed pureed foods and infant cereals as 'first foods', but over the past ten to fifteen years an alternative method of complementary feeding (CF), known commonly as 'Baby-Led Weaning' (BLW) has increased in popularity in countries such as the UK, Canada and New Zealand ⁽⁵⁾. In essence, baby-led complementary feeding (BLCF) involves finger foods being offered to the infant from the age of six months, in addition to continued breastfeeding. The infant is encouraged to join in with family meal times and to self-feed as much or as little as their appetite allows at each meal ⁽⁶⁾.

It has been suggested that BLCF could be considered a continuation of breastfeeding on demand, which promotes self-regulation of milk volume by the infant ⁽⁷⁾. Proponents of this method assert that because the infant, rather than the adult, is responsible for their own feeding, it enables the infant to self-regulate their appetite, potentially lowering the risk of obesity later in life ^(6; 8), whilst encouraging the development of chewing and fine motor skills ⁽⁹⁾. It has also been suggested that this method introduces infants to a wider variety of foods and textures and may lead to less fussy eating as the child matures ^(6; 10).

¹ The term baby-led complementary feeding will be used throughout this manuscript as babies who are still being breastfed, are not yet weaned, but they have been introduced to complementary feeding.

Books and websites on BLCF abound, but due to the lack of research into the nutritional and safety aspects of this method, health professionals are reluctant to recommend BLCF, and the main sources of information for parents are BLCF websites and parenting forums ⁽¹⁰⁾. BLCF primarily involves the consumption of finger foods, the main concerns of health professionals are that finger foods could increase the risk of choking, and that the energy and iron intake of infants might be too low. The advice given by the NHS since 2010 ⁽¹¹⁾ recommends the introduction of soft finger foods from six months. Fortified infant cereals such as baby rice are a popular first food for spoon-fed infants, but make impractical finger foods. Therefore, another concern is that BLCF infants would lack micronutrients such as zinc and iron, which fortified cereals contain ⁽¹²⁾. In contrast, parents who are successful in using BLCF report benefits such as it being a less stressful method of feeding than standard weaning ^(12; 13).

In the UK there have been several large studies investigating the relationship between CF style and behavior by Brown and Lee (10; 14; 15), but research into the nutritional adequacy of different feeding methods is scant. One pilot study for a randomized controlled trial has been undertaken in New Zealand to compare nutrient intake and safety concerns of BLCF and traditionally spoon-fed infants (16). This trial concluded that energy intake was similar across both groups, but vitamin A and selenium intake was lower and sodium intake higher in the modified BLCF group (16). Another small study from New Zealand by Morison et al. (17) compared nutrient intakes and choking risk of BLCF and traditionally spoon fed infants, and concluded that, although energy intake was similar in both groups, the BLCF group had higher intakes of fat and saturated fat, and lower intakes of iron, zinc and vitamin B12. A further set of studies recently published from the Baby-Led Introduction to Solids (BLISS) trial in New Zealand found that compared to a control group, BLISS infants consumed more sodium and fat at 7 months, and less saturated fat at 12 months (18). They also found no differences in zinc intake (19) but a larger variety of foods offered compared to a control group (20). However this intervention was designed to resolve many of the issues believed to be associated with BLCF and provided guidance and education on the types of foods that could be used to improve the nutritional adequacy of the infants diet with particular emphasis on iron.

Due to the paucity of UK studies comparing food and nutrient intake of BLCF infants, health professionals and parents have little evidence to recommend this method of CF. The first aim was to investigate the demographic characteristics of parents in the SW and BLCF groups. The second aim of this study was to compare whether there are any differences in the foods offered to BLCF and SW infants using data from a validated food frequency questionnaire. The third aim was to compare

99 the energy and nutrient intake of infants in each food group (protein, carbohydrate, free sugar, fat, saturated fat, sodium, iron, zinc) using 24-hour dietary recall data. 100 101 102 MATERIALS AND METHODS 103 Study design: This UK population-based study of infants aged six to twelve months used data 104 105 collected from parents completing an online questionnaire, consisting of pretested demographic 106 questions, questions on feeding style, a food frequency questionnaire (FFQ) and a 24-hour dietary 107 recall. 108 109 **Participants:** Following obtaining ethical approval from X University research ethics committee. 320 parents with a child aged 6-12 months were recruited via online parenting websites and posters 110 in nurseries and pre-schools within 10km of X University. The poster and information about the 111 study was advertised in a research thread on the websites for 'Mumsnet' and the National Childbirth 112 Trust (NCT). Participants were directed to a link to the questionnaire and were invited to complete 113 the questionnaire online or using a paper copy between 31st May and 10th July 2017. 114 115 Exclusion criteria: Parents had to be 18 years of age or over with an infant aged six to twelve 116 months on completion of the questionnaire. They were excluded if their infant was born before 37 117 weeks gestation (premature infants can sometimes be slower to reach milestones such as sitting up 118 or self-feeding (21), or had a physical or developmental condition or disability likely to affect their 119 120 feeding or growth. 121 122 Questionnaire: The questionnaire was formatted using Qualtrics software (Qualtrics©, 2017 Provo, UT, USA) and consisted of three main blocks of questions, which took approximately 45 minutes to 123 124 complete. The first block consisted of socio-demographic questions about age, ethnicity, academic background and employment status. The questions were devised by the researchers based on similar 125 previous studies (22; 23). 126 127 128 The second block of questions pertained to the infant, including their age, sex, weight at birth, current weight, gestation when born, breastfeeding practices and CF methods. The questions 129 130 regarding CF methods used percentage scales, such as those used by Brown and Lee (14): 0%, 10%, 131 25%, 50%, 75%, 90% and 100%. Parents who reported using spoon-feeding for 10% or less of the

time at the infant's current age were assigned to the BLCF group, whereas those who reported using spoon feeding more than 10% of the time were assigned to the SW group. The third block of questions consisted of a food frequency questionnaire, validated by previous researchers (24; 25). Permission was granted by Dr Sahota for use in this study. The FFQ addressed the frequency of consumption of food types and the approximate amount of each food consumed in the past 28 days. A subgroup of participants also completed a 24-hour dietary recall, which required participants to recall the foods and drinks their child had consumed in the previous 24 hours, excluding foods which were offered, but not eaten. Analysis of FFQ: Foods offered per day, week or month were converted into food frequency per day, similar to that calculated by Bingham et al. (26) in the EPIC study. Foods were assigned to the following groups for analysis of data: all fruits; all vegetables; starchy foods (porridge, breakfast cereal, bread, crackers, breadsticks, chapattis, pita bread, potato, sweet potato, rice, pasta); fortified infant cereal; dairy and dairy based desserts (cheese, savoury white sauce, yoghurt/fromage frais, ice cream, custard, milk pudding); all fish; oily fish; all meat/fish; processed meats (ham, sausage, bacon, sausage rolls); sugary foods (cakes, biscuits, buns, pastries, sweets); salty snacks (including crisps); pre-prepared baby food (dried food excluding baby rice, jars, tins, pots or pouches), and sugary drinks (including baby juice, fruit juice, squash and fizzy drinks). Groups were broken down into age and CF method, because six to eight-month old infants will usually be obtaining a higher proportion of energy from milk than foods and are likely to consume less finger foods than nine to twelve-month old infants. Analysis of 24-hour recall: 50 participants completed the 24-hour dietary recall (BLCF: n=29, SW n=21). All diet records were manually entered into Nutritics® dietary analysis software (Nutritics.com 2016, v4.315 Education, Dublin, Ireland). Foods, baby formula and supplements not listed in Nutritics were defined using supermarket website nutritional information for products per 100g (Tesco, Asda, Sainsburys and Waitrose). Values for breast milk composition were obtained from McCance and Widdowson's The Composition of Foods (27). To assess the volume of breastmilk consumed, the method of Mills and Taylor was applied as described in Lanigan et al. (28) and Cribb et al. (29): 135g breast milk for infants aged 6–7 months and 100 g for those aged 8–12 months was calculated for each feed of at least ten minutes duration. Energy and nutrient intake were

calculated and SACN 2015/COMA reports generated in Nutritics®. The proportions of food energy

132

133

134

135

136

137

138

139

140

141

142

143

144

145

146

147

148

149

150

151

152

153

154

155

156

157

158

159

160

161

162

163

from fat, protein and carbohydrate was calculated using 17kJ per gram of protein and carbohydrate, 165 166 and 37.7kJ per gram of fat. 167 168 Statistical Analysis: 169 Data were analysed using IBM SPSS Statistics version 23 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). A p-170 171 value of <.05 was considered to indicate statistical significance. 172 173 Demographic data: Chi-squared tests were conducted to test differences between the SW group and 174 BLCF group where the variables were not a continuous measure (parents' education, ethnicity, 175 working status, infant sex and breastfeeding status). Independent sample t-tests were carried out to examine differences between feeding methods on the continuous variables (parents' age and BMI, 176 177 no of children, infant gestational age at birth, infant age at the onset of CF, current age, infant birth 178 weight and current weight). Independent samples t-tests were used for all parametric data. Man-179 Whitney U tests were conducted where data were not parametric. Weight for age centiles were 180 calculated using the WHO Growth Standard for 0-24 months and significant differences was 181 checked using Mann-Whitney U tests. 182 FFQ: Independent sample t-tests for parametric data and Mann-Whitney U tests for non-parametric 183 data were used to determine differences between CF groups and the mean number of times infants 184 185 were offered a food group. A chi-squared test was used to test for differences in vitamin supplement use between groups. 186 187 188 24 hour recall: Independent sample t-tests for parametric data and Mann-Whitney U tests for nonparametric data were used to determine differences between CF groups and the mean macro-189 nutrient and micro-nutrient intake for total intake (food and infant milk), for infant milk only, and 190 191 for food only. 192 193 **RESULTS** 194 195 The questionnaire was attempted by 320 participants (319 online, 1 paper copy by post). After 196 removing partially completed questionnaires (n=173), those in which the infant was born at less 197 than 37 weeks gestation (n=6) or was older than 12 months (n=2) or had allergies or medical 198 conditions which affected feeding (n=5), 134 remained. A very limited number of participants

- indicated the portion size offered at each occasion, so this section of the FFQ had to be discounted.
- Groups were: SW all (n=46), BLCF all (n=88); SW 6-8 months (n=27), BLCF 6-8 months (n=37);
- 201 SW 9-12 months (*n*=19), BLCF 9-12 months (*n*=51).

- Fifty participants gave sufficient detail relating to food, quantity and breast-feeding duration, in the
- 204 24 hour recall: SW all (n=21), BLCF all (n=29); SW 6-8 months (n=13), BLCF 6-8 months (n=12);
- 205 SW 9-12 months (n=8), BLCF 9-12 months (n=17).

206

- 207 *Demographics*: There was no significant association between groups and parent age, educational
- level, work status or ethnicity (Table 1). There was no significant association between CF method
- and initial breast feeding, gestation, age of child at time of filling in questionnaire, infant sex, birth
- order, birth weight, current weight or centiles for weight and height (Table 2). Infants who
- followed BLCF commenced weaning significantly later than SW (p<.001) and significantly more
- BLCF infants were breastfed exclusively for six months (p<.001). At the time of the study in the
- BLCF group 52% were consuming breast milk only, 24% formula only and 24% were combination
- feeding (formula and breast milk) whereas in the SW group 43% were being breast fed, 43%
- 215 formula fed, 14% mixed.

216

217

- 218 *Food Frequency:* There was no significant difference between weaning method and food groups
- for fruits, vegetables, fish, meat and fish, sugary foods or starchy foods (Table 3). The SW group
- (all ages) were offered significantly more fortified infant cereal (p<.001), salty snacks at 6-8 months
- 221 (p=.03), dairy and dairy based desserts at 9-12 months (p=.04) and pre-prepared baby food at all
- ages compared to the BLCF group (p<.001). Conversely, the BLCF group were offered
- significantly more oily fish at all ages (p<.001 and 6-8 months p=.01), and processed meats at all
- ages and 9-12 months (p=.001) and 6-8 months (p=.003) than the SW group.

- 226 24-hour recall: There was no significant difference between weaning method and nutrient intake
- for energy, carbohydrate, protein, saturated fat or zinc (Table 4). There was a significantly greater
- intake of free sugar in the 6-8 month SW group (p=.030), iron in infant milk in the SW group
- 229 (p=.012), fat in food in the BLCF group (p=.035), and sodium in the BLCF group for food (p=.028).
- Data were also compared to RNI data for 7-12 month old infants (Table 5) (30). Whilst mean zinc
- intake met the RNI for both groups, 50% of BLCF infants fell below the RNI of 5mg. Iron intake
- were lower than the RNI in both groups but considerably so in the BLCF group.

233	
234	
235	Proportion of food energy from macronutrients: The BLCF group obtained a greater percentage of
236	energy from fat (34%) than the SW group (26%), and less from carbohydrate (50%) than the SW
237	group (57%). The proportion of energy from protein was similar in both groups (BLCF 16%, SW
238	17%). Free sugars in the SW group accounted for 9% of energy intake, considerably higher than the
239	BLCF figure of 1%.
240	
241	Supplements: Seventy percent of BLCF infants were given multivitamin or vitamin D supplements,
242	compared to 48% of SW infants, which showed a trend towards statistical significance (p =.05).
243	
244	Salt: The proportion of parents who reported never adding salt during the preparation of infants'
245	food was similar for the SW group (84%) and the BLCF group (85%).
246	
247	
248	DISCUSSION
249	
250	Our findings indicate some differences in food and nutrient intake between BLCF and SW infants.
251	This discussion will first consider the demographic data of the population and their feeding styles,
252	then any differences in macronutrients and micronutrients and food sources between the groups,
253	before examining the limitations of the study.
254	
255	The questionnaire tended to attract parents with a preference towards BLCF, with 66% of
256	participants following a BLCF approach, despite CF methods not being mentioned on the
257	recruitment poster. Whilst the demographic in this study was well matched for age, education, work
258	status, ethnicity and sex of infant, it is not representative of the UK population as a whole.
259	Comparing Office for National Statistics (31) figures from the 2011 census with results from our
260	study, 94.8% of the participants were white, compared to the national average of 86%, and 83.7%
261	had held a University degree compared to 27% nationally. There is evidence that parents who
262	choose BLCF in the UK have more years of education ⁽¹⁴⁾ .
263	
264	In our study, and in previous research (10; 14; 17; 32), BLCF was associated with a longer duration of
265	breastfeeding and a later introduction of complementary foods, both of which are considered
266	beneficial to infant health ⁽¹⁴⁾ . Sixty-four percent of BLCF infants were breast fed exclusively for

the first six months, compared to 32 % of SW infants and only 1% in the 2010 Infant Feeding Survey (33). BLCF infants were first introduced to complementary foods at an average of 5.8 months, which was later than the SW group (5.5 months) but in line with the recommended age of around six months. However in 2010 in the UK, 75% infants had been introduced to CF by the age of five months ⁽³³⁾. Seventy percent of BLCF parents reported giving their infants vitamin supplements as recommended for all breast-fed infants compared to only 48% of SW parents, although some parents noted that they did not remember to do this every day. The study indicated that there were no differences between BLCF and SW in terms of energy intake, but the proportion of energy in food from macronutrients and the types of foods offered was different. BLCF infants were offered significantly more fat in food than SW infants which agrees with the findings of Morison et al. (17). From the age of two onwards, fat as a percentage of energy intake should be no more than 35% (30). Both BLCF and SW infants met this guidance: BLCF infants (all ages) derived 34% food energy from fat, compared to 26% SW infants. Although these are just estimates of the dietary intake, 26% of energy from fat in the diet is relatively low as studies have shown that infants on a low fat diet (25% or less energy from fat) commonly fail to thrive (34; 35) The SACN 2015 report (36) states that from the age of two years, free sugars should amount to no more than 5% of total energy (there is no guidance for children under two years). Free sugars accounted for only 1% total energy in the BLW group, however this was 9% in the SW group. Commercially prepared baby foods were offered 11.6 times a week for SW infants compared to only 3.4 times a week for BLCF infants, potentially providing less free sugar. Crawley and Westland (37) criticized manufacturers of commercially prepared baby foods in the UK for adding fruit to provide sweet flavours to vegetable-based purees resulting in a high concentration of sugar. The authors also commented that these foods are unlikely to replicate the taste and texture of homemade food and may have a negative influence on dental health if sucked directly from a baby food pouch. Studies by Coulthard et al. (38; 39) showed that introducing homemade foods with 'lumps' and varied textures before nine months increased both the range of foods, and the quantity of fruit and vegetables that a child will consume at seven years compared to infants fed solely on pureed foods. In contrast, Smithers et al. (40) used data from the Avon Longitudinal Study to show that six to eight month old infants who consumed more ready-prepared baby foods had lower sodium and higher iron intake than infants consuming breast milk and homemade food.

267

268

269

270

271

272

273

274

275

276

277

278

279

280

281

282

283

284

285

286

287

288

289

290

291

292

293

294

295

296

297

298

299

302 In this study, BLCF infants consumed a mean intake of 529.11mg sodium (or 1.3g salt) which is 303 one third above the daily recommended maximum of 400mg. Results from the FFQ showed that 304 BLCF infants were offered more processed meats a known source of sodium and nitrates in the diet (41) than SW infants. In the short term, sodium intake above 400mg per day in infants may cause 305 306 harm to developing kidneys, and in the long-term a preference for salty foods may result in problems such as high blood pressure in adulthood (42). Sodium intake ranged from 154mg to 307 308 1102mg in the BLCF group, with two infants consuming almost three times the recommended 309 intake of sodium in 24 hours (1102mg and 1082mg). In this case, the majority of the sodium was 310 contained in baked beans, ham, crumpets and cheese. Added sodium is rarely present in 311 commercially pureed baby foods, which represented a greater proportion of dietary intake in the SW group than the BLCF group, but could be present in family food unmodified for BLCF infants. 312 Cribb et al. (29) calculated intake of sodium and iron from three-day dietary records of family foods 313 offered to eight month old infants (n=1178) and 70% consumed more than the daily maximum of 314 315 400mg. However, 85% of BLCF parents in the current study reported that they never added salt to 316 food, although the BLCF group was offered processed meats on average just over three times per week. The mean sodium intake for SW, 375mg, was in line with the RNI. 317 319 Iron is required for the development of red blood cells, immune function and cognitive development 320

318

321

322

323

324

325

326

327

328

329

330

331

332

333

334

(43). Iron deficiency anaemia, caused by insufficient dietary iron, can lead to delays in the development of cognitive function, which can be irreversible (44). The UK has no screening policy for iron deficiency, which makes it difficult to estimate the prevalence in the population (45), but in the 2011 Diet and Nutrition Survey of Infants and Children, iron intake was 10-14% below the Lower Reference Nutrient Intake (46). In our study, iron intake was below the RNI for both groups: the SW group were 20% below the RNI and the BLCF group were 38% below the RNI. The lower iron intake in the infant milk portion of the BLCF group could be explained in part by a greater consumption of breast milk, which has a lower concentration of iron than formula milk (approximately 0.07mg per 100ml compared to 0.80mg per 100ml in formula milk (47)) and lower intake of commercially prepared baby foods and fortified infant cereals. This suggests that BLCF infants may need foods with a greater iron content, especially if breast milk is still a large part of overall energy intake. The FFQ showed significantly more fortified infant cereal (baby rice) offered to the SW group, which is a good source of iron, but is difficult for BLCF infants to consume when self-feeding. Compared to the RNI for 7-12 month old infants average zinc intake met the RNI for both groups, but 50% of BLCF infants fell below the RNI of 5mg. Red meat, such as beef and lamb, is a good source of iron and zinc, but it can prove difficult to chew and parents may worry about infants choking if it is in finger food form.

337

338

339

340

341

342

343

344

345

346

347

348

349

350

351

352

353

354

355

356

357

358

359

360

335

336

Data for this study was self-reported and could be open subject to error (e.g. people misreporting or estimating body weight). The participants for this study were also self-selected and the choice of weaning style was also selected by the participants. Whilst internet recruitment is efficient, it may be biased towards participants who have a higher level of education (48). Although 320 surveys were attempted, only 134 were fully completed. The length of the questionnaire was a limitation and many participants completed the demographic questions, but did not progress further to the FFQ. The 50 participants who completed the entire survey including the 24-hour dietary recall were those that were more motivated to do so, and this may have biased the results. The FFO has not been validated for online use and as such the decision was taken to focus solely on the types of foods consumed from this data. The nutrients contained in breast milk are very difficult to standardize since the composition of breast milk changes between each feed, and the fat content of milk varies as the breast is emptied of milk (47; 49). Assessing the accuracy of duration and volume of breast milk is difficult. It is likely that some participants overestimated the duration of feeds, or the time the infant was actively sucking. However, energy from milk and food was similar for both BLCF and SW infants, which suggests the method was consistent with volumes calculated for formula milk. The 24-hour recall data was dependent on participants recording the quantity of food actually ingested, which is problematic with infants, so the quantities stated can only be estimates. The habitual intake of foods consumed is difficult to estimate in a 24-hour food recall, and a longer (2 day) weighed food diary would be a more accurate indicator of quantity ingested, but would require many more resources than were available in this study. The questionnaire was undertaken at any time between when the child was 6-12 months and it is known that babies will transition from a being spoon fed (SW) to self-feeding (BLCF) during this time (50). Future studies should assess food intake at the point of weaning.

361

362

363

364

365

366

367

As an area in which research is limited, and the first study of this type in the UK, this study supplements the published evidence currently available on nutrient intake of infants following BLCF or SW approaches to CF. The survey was comprehensive, which meant a broad range of data could be collected. The sample size was larger than for similar studies, such as that of Morison *et al.* ⁽¹⁷⁾, which gives the study more statistical power. Finally, all demographic data was consistent between groups for parents, and age, sex and weight of infants was consistent between groups.

CONCLUSION

Doctors, midwives and health visitors are reliant on evidence-based research to inform their advice to parents. This study adds to the small pool of knowledge relating to food and nutrient intake and CF methods. This study suggests that BLCF can have both positive and negative implications for the diets of infants. Parents need to be made more aware of the types of food they should or should not be offering their infant to ensure that sodium intake is not too high and that iron intake is sufficient. In the current study the BLCF group were less likely to be offered commercially prepared baby foods and less free sugar than the SW in this study. Parents using BLCF should be informed of the benefits and limitations and given advice to ensure optimal nutritional intake during this important time such as has been achieved during the BLISS studies (16).

- 381 References
- 1. Emmett PM, Jones LR (2014) Diet and growth in infancy: relationship to socioeconomic
- background and to health and development in the Avon Longitudinal Study of Parents and
- 384 Children. *Nutr Rev* **72**, 483-506.
- 2. Agostoni C, Decsi T, Fewtrell M et al. (2008) Complementary feeding: a commentary by the
- 386 ESPGHAN Committee on Nutrition. *J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr* **46**, 99-110.
- 387 3. Foote KD, Marriott LD (2003) Weaning of infants. *Arch Dis Child* **88**, 488-492.
- 4. NHS Start 4 Life Complemetary feeding. https://www.nhs.uk/start4life/first-foods (accessed
- 389 05/08/2017
- 5. Cameron SL, Heath AL, Taylor RW (2012) How feasible is Baby-led Weaning as an approach to
- infant feeding? A review of the evidence. *Nutrients* **4**, 1575-1609.
- 392 6. Rapley G (2015) Baby-led weaning: The theory and evidence behind the approach. *Journal of*
- 393 *Health Visiting* **3**, 144-151.
- 7. Paul IM, Bartok CJ, Downs DS et al. (2009) Opportunities for the primary prevention of obesity
- 395 during infancy. *Adv Pediatr* **56**, 107-133.
- 8. Townsend E, Pitchford NJ (2012) Baby knows best? The impact of weaning style on food
- preferences and body mass index in early childhood in a case-controlled sample. BMJ Open 2,
- 398 e000298.
- 9. Carruth BR, Skinner JD (2002) Feeding behaviors and other motor development in healthy
- 400 children (2-24 months). *J Am Coll Nutr* **21**, 88-96.
- 401 10. Brown A, Lee MD (2013) Early influences on child satiety-responsiveness: the role of weaning
- 402 style. *Pediatr Obes* **10**, 57-66.
- 403 11. NHS (2015) When should I start giving my baby solids (weaning)?
- 404 https://www.nhs.uk/chq/pages/812.aspx?categoryid=62 (accessed 30th December 2017)

- 405 12. Cameron SL, Taylor RW, Heath AL (2015) Development and pilot testing of Baby-Led
- 406 Introduction to SolidS--a version of Baby-Led Weaning modified to address concerns about iron
- deficiency, growth faltering and choking. *BMC Pediatr* **15**, 99.
- 408 13. Cameron SL, Heath AL, Taylor RW (2012) Healthcare professionals' and mothers' knowledge
- of, attitudes to and experiences with, Baby-Led Weaning: a content analysis study. BMJ Open 2.
- 410 14. Brown A, Lee M (2011) A descriptive study investigating the use and nature of baby-led
- weaning in a UK sample of mothers. *Matern Child Nutr* **7**, 34-47.
- 412 15. Brown A, Lee M (2011) Maternal control of child feeding during the weaning period:
- differences between mothers following a baby-led or standard weaning approach. *Matern Child*
- 414 *Health J* **15**, 1265-1271.
- 415 16. Daniels L, Heath AL, Williams SM et al. (2015) Baby-Led Introduction to SolidS (BLISS)
- study: a randomised controlled trial of a baby-led approach to complementary feeding. BMC
- 417 *Pediatr* **15**, 179.
- 418 17. Morison BJ, Taylor RW, Haszard JJ et al. (2016) How different are baby-led weaning and
- 419 conventional complementary feeding? A cross-sectional study of infants aged 6-8 months. BMJ
- 420 *Open* **6**, e010665.
- 421 18. Williams Erickson L, Taylor RW, Haszard JJ et al. (2018) Impact of a Modified Version of
- Baby-Led Weaning on Infant Food and Nutrient Intakes: The BLISS Randomized Controlled Trial.
- 423 *Nutrients* **10**.
- 424 19. Daniels L, Taylor RW, Williams SM et al. (2018) Modified Version of Baby-Led Weaning
- Does Not Result in Lower Zinc Intake or Status in Infants: A Randomized Controlled Trial. J Acad
- 426 Nutr Diet 118, 1006-1016 e1001.
- 427 20. Morison BJ, Heath AM, Haszard JJ et al. (2018) Impact of a Modified Version of Baby-Led
- Weaning on Dietary Variety and Food Preferences in Infants. *Nutrients* 10.
- 429 21. Wright CM, Cameron K, Tsiaka M et al. (2011) Is baby-led weaning feasible? When do babies
- 430 first reach out for and eat finger foods? *Matern Child Nutr* **7**, 27-33.

- 22. Pliner P (1994) Development of measures of food neophobia in children. *Appetite* **23**, 147-163.
- 432 23. Wardle J, Guthrie CA, Sanderson S et al. (2001) Development of the Children's Eating
- 433 Behaviour Questionnaire. *J Child Psychol Psychiatry* **42**, 963-970.
- 434 24. Marriott LD, Robinson SM, Poole J et al. (2008) What do babies eat? Evaluation of a food
- frequency questionnaire to assess the diets of infants aged 6 months. Public Health Nutr 11, 751-
- 436 756.
- 25. Sahota P, Gatenby LA, Greenwood DC et al. (2016) Ethnic differences in dietary intake at age
- 438 12 and 18 months: the Born in Bradford 1000 Study. *Public Health Nutr* **19**, 114-122.
- 26. Bingham SA, Welch AA, McTaggart A et al. (2001) Nutritional methods in the European
- 440 Prospective Investigation of Cancer in Norfolk. *Public Health Nutr* **4**, 847-858.
- 27. Roe MA, Finglas PM, Church SM (2002) McCance and Widdowson's the composition of foods:
- 6th summary ed. Cambridge/London: Royal Society of Chemistry/Food Standards Agency.
- 28. Lanigan JA, Wells JC, Lawson MS et al. (2004) Number of days needed to assess energy and
- nutrient intake in infants and young children between 6 months and 2 years of age. *European*
- *journal of clinical nutrition* **58**, 745-750.
- 29. Cribb VL, Warren JM, Emmett PM (2012) Contribution of inappropriate complementary foods
- to the salt intake of 8-month-old infants. European journal of clinical nutrition **66**, 104-110.
- 30. Department of Health. (1991) Dietary Reference Values for Food Energy and Nutrients Report
- of the Panel on Dietary Reference Values of the Committee on Medical Aspects of Food Policy. :
- 450 Stationary Office, London.
- 451 31. Office for National Statistics (2012) 2011 Census: Key Statistics for England and Wales, March
- 452 2011.
- 453 https://www.ons.gov.uk/peoplepopulationandcommunity/populationandmigration/populationestimat
- 454 es/bulletins/2011censuskeystatisticsforenglandandwales/2012-12-11#toc (accessed 03/08/17

- 455 32. Fu X, Conlon CA, Haszard JJ et al. (2018) Food fussiness and early feeding characteristics of
- 456 infants following Baby-Led Weaning and traditional spoon-feeding in New Zealand: An internet
- 457 survey. *Appetite* **130**, 110-116.
- 458 33. The Health and Social Care Information Centre (2012) Infant Feeding Survey report 2010.
- https://files.digital.nhs.uk/publicationimport/pub08xxx/pub08694/infant-feeding-survey-2010-
- 460 <u>consolidated-report.pdf</u> (accessed 06/08/2017
- 34. Uauy R, Castillo C (2003) Lipid requirements of infants: implications for nutrient composition
- of fortified complementary foods. *J Nutr* **133**, 2962S-2972S.
- 35. Brown KH, Sanchez-Grinan M, Perez F et al. (1995) Effects of dietary energy density and
- 464 feeding frequency on total daily energy intakes of recovering malnourished children. *The American*
- *journal of clinical nutrition* **62**, 13-18.
- 36. Scientific Advisory Committee on Nutrition (2015) Carbohydrates and Health.
- https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/445503/SACN_Carb
- ohydrates_and_Health.pdf (accessed 14 June 17
- 469 37. Crawley H, Westwood S (2017) *Baby foods in the UK. First Steps Nutrition Trust.*
- 38. Coulthard H, Harris G, Emmett P (2009) Delayed introduction of lumpy foods to children
- during the complementary feeding period affects child's food acceptance and feeding at 7 years of
- 472 age. *Matern Child Nutr* **5**, 75-85.
- 39. Coulthard H, Harris G, Emmett P (2010) Long-term consequences of early fruit and vegetable
- feeding practices in the United Kingdom. *Public Health Nutr* **13**, 2044-2051.
- 475 40. Smithers LG, Golley RK, Brazionis L et al. (2012) Dietary patterns of infants and toddlers are
- associated with nutrient intakes. *Nutrients* **4**, 935-948.
- 41. Ni Mhurchu C, Capelin C, Dunford EK et al. (2011) Sodium content of processed foods in the
- 478 United Kingdom: analysis of 44,000 foods purchased by 21,000 households. *The American journal*
- *of clinical nutrition* **93**, 594-600.

- 42. Derbyshire E, Davies G (2007) Sodium: can infants consume too much? *Nutrition & Food*
- 481 *Science*, **37**, 400-405.
- 43. Baker RD, Greer FR, Committee on Nutrition American Academy of Pediatrics (2010)
- Diagnosis and prevention of iron deficiency and iron-deficiency anemia in infants and young
- 484 children (0-3 years of age). *Pediatrics* **126**, 1040-1050.
- 44. Domellof M, Braegger C, Campoy C et al. (2014) Iron requirements of infants and toddlers. J
- 486 Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr 58, 119-129.
- 487 45. Fewtrell M, Wilson DC, Booth I et al. (2010) Six months of exclusive breast feeding: how good
- 488 is the evidence? *BMJ* (*Clinical research ed* **342**, c5955.
- 489 46. Lennox A, Sommerville J, Ong K et al. (2013) Diet and nutrition survey of infants and young
- 490 children, 2011. A survey carried out on behalf of the Department of Health and Food Standards
- 491 Agency.

502

503

- 492 47. Garcia AL, Raza S, Parrett A et al. (2013) Nutritional content of infant commercial weaning
- 493 foods in the UK. *Arch Dis Child* **98**, 793-797.
- 494 48. Gosling SD, Vazire S, Srivastava S et al. (2004) Should we trust web-based studies? A
- comparative analysis of six preconceptions about internet questionnaires. *The American*
- 496 *psychologist* **59**, 93-104.
- 49. Bauer J, Gerss J (2011) Longitudinal analysis of macronutrients and minerals in human milk
- 498 produced by mothers of preterm infants. *Clin Nutr* **30**, 215-220.
- 499 50. Watson S, Costantini C, Clegg ME (2018) The Role of Complementary Feeding Methods on
- Early Eating Behaviors and Food Neophobia in Toddlers. *Child Care in Practice*.

507

508

509

510511512513

complementary feeding (BLCF)

Parental characteristics	SW (n=46)	BLCF (n=88)	P value
Parent Age in years [mean (SD)] *	31.7 (4.8)	34.0 (4.0)	.07
<19	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	
20-24	0 (0.0)	6 (7.0)	
25-29	7 (15.2)	23 (26.7)	
30-34	17 (37.0)	36 (41.9)	
>35	22 (47.8)	21 (24.4)	
Education [n (%)]			.70
No formal education	0 (0.0)	1 (1.1)	
School GCSEs ^a	3 (6.5)	5 (5.7)	
School A levels ^b	1 (2.2)	7 (8.0)	
College ^c	2 (4.3)	3 (3.4)	
University ^d	40 (87.0)	72 (81.8)	
Ethnicity [n (%)]			.34
White	43 (93.5)	84 (95.5)	
Asian/Asian British	1 (2.2)	0 (0.0)	
Black/Black African/Black British/Black Caribbean	0 (0.0)	1 (1.1)	
Mixed	1 (2.2)	3 (3.4)	
Other	1 (2.2)	0 (0.0)	
BMI $[(kg/m^2]$ (SD)	26.1 (5.7)	26.5 (4.8)	.35
<18.5	1 (2.2)	1 (1.1)	
18.5-24.9	20 (43.5)	36 (41.4)	
25.0-29.9	16 (34.8)	32 (36.8)	
30.0-34.9	6 (13.0)	12 (13.8)	
>35.0	3 (6.5)	6 (6.9)	
Number of children [n (%)]			<mark>.364</mark>
1	22 (47.8)	60 (68.2)	
2	21 (45.7)	19 (21.6)	
3	2 (4.3)	5 (5.7)	
>3	1 (2.2)	4 (4.5)	
Work status [n (%)]			.25
Full time	16 (34.8)	33 (37.5)	
Part-time	26 (56.5)	39 (44.3)	
Not in work	4 (8.7)	16 (18.2)	

^{*}data from two participants was excluded due to incorrect data entry

^a qualification generally taken by school students in the UK aged 14-16 years

^b School leaving qualification in the UK that can be used for University entrance

^c Further education generally undertaken between 16-19 years that may or may not involve A level qualifications

Table 2. Infant characteristics of those following standard weaning (SW) and baby led complementary feeding (BLCF)

Infant characteristics	SW (n=46)	BLCF (n=88)	P value
Age [months (SD)]	8.5 (2.0)	9.1 (1.8)	.07
6-8 [n (%)]	27 (58.7)	37 (42.0)	
9-12 [n (%)]	19 (41.3)	51 (58.0)	
Sex			.47
Male [n (%)]	21 (45.7)	47 (53.4)	
Female [n (%)]	25 (54.3)	42 (47.7)	
Gestation [weeks (SD)]	39.5 (1.4)	40.0 (1.4)	.06
Weight for age centile at birth [mean (SD)]	60.6 (26.7) ^a	67.0 (27.2) ^a	.14
Weight for age centile at current age [mean (SD)]	58.2 (28.7) ^b	57.5 (33.0) ^b	.96
Initial breastfeeding [n (%)]	40 (87.0)	82 (93.2)	.23
Exclusively breast fed for 6 months [n (%)]	15 (32.6)	56 (64.4) ^c	<.001 ^e
Age of introduction of CF [months (SD)]	5.5 (0.5)	5.8 (0.4) ^d	<.001 e

^a error in data entry final participant numbers are: n=45 SW, n=87 BLCF; ^b error in data entry: n=45 SW, n=86 BLCF; ^c no data for one participant: n=87 BLCF; ^d error in data entry for one participant: n=87 BLCF; ^e P-values <.05 are highlighted in bold and indicate statistical significance

Table 3. Food Frequency Questionnaire results: number of times each food type was offered per day over all ages groups (total), 6-8 months and 9-12 months for those following standard weaning (SW) and baby led complementary feeding (BLCF).

			6-	-8 Months		9-12 Months									
	SW (n	a = 21)	BLCF (n = 29)		SW (n	= 13)	BLCF ((n = 12)		SW (n = 8)	BLCF (n = 17)	
Nutrient	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	p	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	p	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	p
Fruits	2.77	0.35	2.37	0.15	.67	2.35	0.35	1.99	0.20	.49	3.36	0.66	2.65	0.21	.37
Vegetables	3.58	0.62	3.49	0.23	.11	3.22	0.37	3.15	0.56	.31	4.20	1.28	3.68	0.29	.33
Fortified infant cereal	0.26	0.58	0.032	0.18	<.001	0.19	0.06	< 0.001	0.003	<.001	0.37	0.11	0.05	0.03	<.001
All fish	0.50	0.12	0.50	0.07	.09	0.36	0.11	0.43	0.12	.26	0.69	0.20	0.56	0.08	.46
Oily fish	0.19	0.05	0.23	0.03	<.001	0.13	0.05	0.22	0.06	.01	0.26	0.09	0.24	0.03	.12
All meat/fish	1.26	0.20	1.40	0.16	.33	0.80	0.21	0.91	0.15	.22	1.91	0.35	1.75	0.35	.44
Processed meats (ham/sausage/bacon)	0.15	0.05	0.45	0.08	<.001	0.10	0.04	0.21	0.04	.003	0.22	0.10	0.62	0.12	<.001
Sugary foods (cakes/biscuits/snacks)	0.20	0.08	0.22	0.07	.63	0.10	0.07	0.35	0.03	.54	0.33	0.15	0.35	0.12	.77
Salty snacks	0.19	0.05	0.13	0.03	.31	0.20	0.07	0.05	0.02	.03	0.18	0.07	`0.19	0.04	.96
Starchy foods	3.39	0.40	3.34	0.20	.28	2.72	0.40	2.42	0.21	.88	4.34	0.74	4.01	0.28	.46
Dairy and dairy based desserts	1.23	0.15	0.98	0.07	.36	0.89	0.16	0.76	0.08	.80	1.72	0.25	1.14	0.09	.04
Sugary drinks	0.00	0.00	0.02	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.03	0.02	.16
Pre-prepared baby food	1.65	0.23	0.49	0.13	<.001	1.20	0.23	0.34	0.14	<.001	2.29	0.43	0.60	0.20	<.001

*P-values <.05 are highlighted in bold and indicate statistical significance

Table 4. 24-hour dietary recall: nutrient intake over all ages groups (total), 6-8 months and 9-12 months for those following standard weaning (SW) and baby led complementary feeding (BLCF).

				Total				6-	8 Months			9-12 Months						
		SW (n	a = 46	BLCF ((n = 88)		SW (n	a = 27)	BLCF ((n=37)		SW (r	<i>i</i> = 19)	BLCF ((n = 51)			
Nutrient		Mean	SD	Mean	SD	p	p Mean	SD	Mean	SD	p	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	p		
Energy (kJ)	Total	3847.8	1205.9	4143.1	935.0	.33	2368.3	924.7	237.7	899.0	.99	1479.5	9354.6	1770.4	850.3	.26		
	Infant Milk	2368.3	924.7	2372.7	899.0	.99	2649.8	836.4	2654.8	960.3	.99	1910.8	925.83	2173.5	823.7	.48		
	Food	1479.5	935.6	1770.4	850.3	.26	1132.0	872.8	1127.9	498.8	.99	2044.3	778.7	2223.9	752.4	.59		
Carbohydrates(g)	Total	111.2	32.6	112.5	30.2	.88	108.8	31.4	96.5	22.4	.40	115.2	36.1	121.9	32.0	.66		
	Infant Milk	62.1	20.8	60.2	23.1	.77	68.7	18.1	60.6	23.6	.30	51.3	21.4	55.5	22.0	.66		
	Food	49.1	30.3	52.3	30.4	.72	40.1	30.3	32.4	16.9	.45	63.9	25.4	66.3	30.3	.85		
Protein (g)	Total	25.9	9.9	27.5	8.5	.47	23.5	9.3	22.6	5.5	.77	29.8	10.2	31.1	8.8	.75		
	Infant Milk	11.1	3.8	10.8	4.0	.79	12.3	3.3	12.0	4.3	.84	9.0	4.0	9.9	3.7	.61		
	Food	14.8	9.5	16.8	9.3	.46	11.2	8.9	10.6	6.3	.86	20.7	7.5	21.2	8.6	.91		
Fat (g)	Total	41.0	16.9	47.7	13.7	.12	41.7	14.5	46.1	11.9	.54	39.7	21.3	48.8	15.1	.23		
	Infant Milk	30.7	15.0	31.8	12.6	.64	34.9	14.1	35.8	13.71	.94	24.0	14.5	29.0	11.3	.19		
	Food	10.2	8.4	15.9	9.8	.04*	6.8	6.2	10.2	5.1	.12	15.7	9.0	19.8	10.5	.55		
Saturated Fat(g)	Total	16.7	7.6	19.8	6.9	.13	17.5	6.7	18.9	5.5	.57	15.2	9.3	20.5	7.9	.09		
	Infant Milk	12.9	6.5	13.7	5.5	.56	14.6	6.2	15.6	5.9	.85	10.2	6.6	12.4	5.0	.22		
	Food	3.7	3.4	6.1	5.3	.08	2.9	3.4	3.4	2.2	.41	5.1	3.1	8.0	6.1	.24		
Free Sugar (g)	Total	5.2	7.5	3.6	5.1	.58	6.5	9.0	1.0	2.1	.03	3.0	3.4	5.5	5.8	.29		
Iron (mg)	Total	6.2	4.9	4.8	2.6	.25	6.3	5.8	4.2	3.0	.57	6.0	3.2	5.3	2.2	.51		
	Infant Milk	2.4	1.7	1.6	1.9	.01	2.1	1.5	1.8	2.1	.12	2.9	2.1	1.5	1.9	.08		
	Food	3.8	4.5	3.2	2.2	.55	4.2	5.6	2.5	1.9	.85	3.1	2.0	3.7	2.3	.55		
Zinc (mg)	Total	5.8	2.9	5.2	1.9	.40	6.0	3.3	5.0	2.1	.47	5.4	2.3	5.3	1.8	.95		
	Infant Milk	5.4	2.3	5.3	1.8	.05	3.6	0.5	3.3	1.6	.66	2.9	0.9	2.8	1.5	.32		
	Food	2.5	2.7	2.2	1.4	.52	2.5	3.3	1.7	1.4	.94	2.5	1.8	2.6	1.4	.92		
Sodium (mg)	Total	375.5	219.4	529.1	224.8	.01	315.3	161.9	391.2	117.1	.10	473.4	273.7	626.5	233.9	.32		

Infant Milk	134.6	42.3	129.9	55.6	.76	149.5	36.2	145.8	54.5	.84	110.3	50.1	118.8	55.2	.71
Food	240.9	218.0	399.1	237.0	.03	165.7	166.7	245.4	127.2	.23	363.0	246.3	507.6	238.7	.18

^{*}P-values <.05 are highlighted in bold and indicate statistical significance

Table 5. Comparison of total nutrient means from dietary recall and EAR/RNI for those following standard weaning (SW) and baby led complementary feeding (BLCF).

Nutrient	SW 6-12 Mean (SD)	BLCF 6-12 Mean (SD)	RNI
Energy (kJ)	3847.8 (1205.9)	4143.1 (935.0)	2853 a
Protein (g)	25.9 (9.9)	27.5 (8.6)	14.3 b
Iron (mg)	6.21 (4.9)	4.84 (2.6)	7.8
Zinc (mg)	5.8 (2.2)	5.2 (1.9)	5.0
Sodium (mg)	375.5 (219.4)	529.1 (228.8)	400
Calcium (mg)	588.9 (209.1)	579.9 (211.8)	525
Magnesium (mg)	80.9 (29.4)	90.7 (27.1)	75
Vitamin A(μg)	787.0 (327.5)	687.7 (192.1)	350
Vitamin B12 (µg)	1.43 (0.89)	1.47 (1.03)	0.4
Vitamin C (mg)	86.9 (36.9)	80.6 (30.3)	25

^a Energy given as EAR (estimated average requirement). RNI (reference nutrient intake)

^b Average of male and female requirements mixed feeding 7-12 months ⁽³⁰⁾